

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION 06/06/2022

EXTERNAL EVALUATION - END OF PROJECT EVALUATION TANZANIA – WASH

PROJECT CODE: WASH 2017-2021 - 2017-14-TZ-DGD

DATE OF EVALUATION: JANUARY-MAY 2022

EVALUATOR(S): KEY AID CONSULTING (INFO@KEYAIDCONSULTING.COM)

EVALUATION MANAGEMENT TEAM (EMT):

- BRC-FL: AMBRE PARISSE (PROJECT MANAGER), DIDIER VAN AERT (HEAD OF INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS), YOUSRI EL ADAK (EVALUATION MANAGER)

- TRCS: NATHAN VINCENT (WASH FIELD COORDINATOR), NICODEMUS NKIAMI (WASH ENGINEER), HILARY NGUDE (HEALTH DIRECTOR)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The evaluation is part of the programme 2017-2021 of the BRC-FL International Cooperation department financed by the Belgian Development Cooperation (DGD). The evaluation is focusing on the relevance, effectiveness, impact, efficiency, coherence and sustainability of the Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WaSH) program in *Tanzania*.

SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

BRC-Fl and TRCS for the larger part agrees with the recommendations of the consultant. They all aim to achieve an increased efficiency, relevant, effectiveness or sustainability therefore increasing the impact of future interventions. To be noted that some of the recommendations were only applicable to other countries as already implemented in Tanzania throughout the program (recommendations 5 & 8). Key actions will be implemented by TRCS through its current program finances by Enabel. They mostly focus on ensuring the impact of the intervention, improving the sustainability of the interventions, but also on taking the necessary input from the different stakeholders into account.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE TABLE

Recommendation 1: Improve the quality of needs assessments.						
Management response:	Response rationale:					
☐ Partially accepted	Having quality needs assessment even before designing the program would be the best way to ensure relevance but also efficiency throughout the implementation. Although that is often a challenge due to budget constraints, at least some level of needs assessment should take place before the start of the project. Additionally, reducing the scope of the needs assessment thanks to information coming from other actors can increase the efficiency of the said needs assessment.					
Ke	y action(s)	Deadline	Responsible		Tracking	
				Status	Comments	
1.1 Identify the main indicators	s of interest for the National Society	Q2 2022	WASH engineer			
and how they can be impacted	by other actors.					
1.2 Develop a needs assessme	nt template including baseline data	Q3 2022	WASH engineer			
and context information. The t	emplate should also provide 3 levels					
of assessment (basic, moderate	e and extensive) to allow its use even					
if the budget or time is limited						
1.3 Develop a pre-needs asses	1.3 Develop a pre-needs assessment to limit the geographical scope		WASH engineer			
of the needs assessment. Before the needs assessment, reach out to						
other actors and government i	institutions active in the region to					
specify the scope of the needs	assessment.					

Recommendation 2: Better integrate a WaSH market-based approach for latrine construction.						
Management response:	Response rationale:					
□ Accepted	Ensuring the availability of improved latrines items is crucial to ensure the replicability of the intervention by the community members themselves. The increase of demand following the intervention is sometimes not enough to trigger an increase of the offer or this process of offer increase can be so slow that demand would falter before the offer would be able to keep up. The option of consciously providing assistance to the local market would solve the challenge of sustainability while supporting the local economy.					
Ke	y action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking		
				Status	Comments	
2.1 Develop an SOP for the potential modalities of the market- Q4 2022 WASH engineer						
based approach with financial	feasibility analysis					

2.2 Identify the market actors in the intervention area. They should	Q4 2022	Field wash officer		
then be linked with the manufacturers and TRCS should be part of				
the initial negotiations.				
2.3 Establish community-based sanitation committees to increase	Q4 2022	Field wash officer	ongoing	
the demand from the community and link them with the market ac-				
tors.				
2.4 Pilot a voucher assistance for satos instead of a direct distribu-	Q4 2023	WASH engineer		
tion of the satos to the households.				

Recommendation 3: Better plan volunteers' inputs.							
Management response:	Response rationale:	Response rationale:					
□ Partially accepted	Volunteers being community members themselves, being the first contact to the rest of the population and often providing key element of the intervention (hygiene promotion), they are the best place to bring information up to the stakeholders of						
a project. Taking into consideration their feedback in time can avoid delays and but also misalignments between the planned activities, the needs and the expectations of the beneficiaries or government entities.							
Ke	y action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking			
				Status	Comments		
3.1 Improve and formalize the	feedback from the volunteers on	Continuous	Field wash officer	ongoing			
their activities, challenges and							
through KOBO and through m	ough monthly volunteers meeting with the						
field project officer.							

Recommendation 4: Involve the local authorities early in the programme design.						
Management response:	Response rationale: The program had properly involved the WASH local authorities at the beginning program. However,					
□ Accepted	more actors can have an impact on wash infrastructure or on hygiene promotion. Correctly identifying those actors and proactively maintaining the contact throughout the program is key.					
Key action(s)		Deadline	Responsible	Tracking		
				Status	Comments	
4.1 Identify all stakeholders of the projects and organize a joint meeting to present the plans of the project and individual meetings		Q4 2023	TRCS			

to have a clear view of the plans of the actors and the impacts on the project.			
4.2 Developing communication mechanism for each stakeholder in order to be aware of the updates of their own work plans.	Q4 2022	TRCS	
4.3 Involve the wash actors in the design of the program and proactively search for synergies and complementarities with their own work plans.	Q4 2023	TRCS	
4.4 Develop a common understanding of the project monitoring and handing over modalities and the involvement of the WASH government actors in these steps	Q4 2022	TRCS	

Recommendation 5: Better monitor the quality of slabs constructed by volunteers.						
Management response:	Response rationale:					
□ Rejected	Slabs were not used in Tanzania. Sato pans were used instead. Additionally, the use of trained local artisans significantly decreased the risk of quality issues compared to the use of volunteers.					
Ke	y action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking		
				Status	Comments	
N/A						

Recommendation 6: Collect more data on the service delivery of water points.							
Management response:	Response rationale:						
□ Accepted	With the flow of water and the water safety being non-constant, regular data collection and follow-up should be made. The results from these collected data should then be used to inform and adapt the intervention.						
Key action(s)		Deadline	Responsible	Tracking			
				Status	Comments		
	nprehensive quality of water testing at usehold level on monthly basis and .	Q3 2022	TRCS				

6.2 Proceed with flow of water testing on monthly basis and include	Q3 2022	TRCS	
in the follow-up database (that should also contain information on			
the water point status)			
6.3 Based on the developed database results, adapt intervention	Continuous	TRCS	
throughout the program			

Recommendation 7: Simplify some monitoring indicators and internalize the analysis within HNS to make them actionable.							
Management response:	Response rationale: The sustainability of WASH intervention can only be ensured if TRCS is independently able to assess the impact of their future project. M&E capacity building is therefore as important as wash capacity building.						
Key	y action(s)	Deadline	Responsible		Tracking		
				Status	Comments		
the HH have a latrine, with tap says to use the latrines. Same to observation (does the HH have	ween observational questions (does s, etc.) and when and how the HH for the handwashing practices, split e a handwashing station with soap es the HH know the key times for	Q4 2023	TRCS and partners				
1	data analysis and train the M&E de- analysis. As the capacity increases, lysis can increase again.	Q4 2023	TRCS and partners				

Recommendation 8: Improve communications with beneficiaries on the expected material to be delivered for latrine construction.						
Management response:	Response rationale:					
□ Rejected	This is not applicable to TRCS as the expectations of the communities were aligned with what the project planned to provide. This was ensured through a clear design of the intervention strategy which was then communicated and agreed during community meetings at village level at the beginning of the project. The modality of the project was then continuously reinforced by the volunteers through their household visits.					
K	ey action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking		
				Status	Comments	
N/A						

Recommendation 9: Provide	e a more comprehensive training to \	NUC.				
Management response:	Response rationale:					
☐ Partially accepted	Improving the capacity building of the WUC (in Tanzania called CBWSO) could definitely serve the projects and the sustainability of interventions. However, in Tanzania the trainings were already including the maintenance of all systems available in the area including what was not introduced by the project. What could be improved would be the training of CBWSO on the					
	proper quality testing and treatment of water.					
Ke	Key action(s)		Deadline Responsible	Tracking		
				Status	Comments	
9.1 Develop a guideline for qu CBWSO	uality testing to be done by the	Q4 2022	TRCS			
9.2 Develop response mechan	isms to be followed by the CBWSO	Q4 2022	TRCS			
based on the results of the qu	ality testing.					
9.3 Develop and provide a training of CBWSO on the above developed guidelines with infield practice exercise.		Continuous	TRCS			
9.4 Include realistic practice ex	xercise to all trainings of WUC	2023	TRCS			

Recommendation 10: Test water quality more systematically.					
Management response:	Response rationale:				
□ Accepted	Testing for the water quality comprehensively on quarterly basis and on specific issues when necessary (more regularly) will allow for the project to quickly response to changes in the environment or adapt the project planned activities if unplanned challenges occur.				
Key action(s)		Deadline	Responsible	Tracking	
				Status	Comments
10.1 (see key action 6.1)		Q3 2022	TRCS		
10.2 (see key action 6.3)		Continuous	TRCS		
10.3 Include the procurement of testing kits in project designs and budget		Continuous	TRCS		
10.4 Include the training on th and budget	e use of testing kits in project designs	Continuous	TRCS		

10.5 Proceed with regular testing of water through field test based	Q3 2022	TRCS	
on specific issues (example: e-coli, cholera only test, etc.)			

Recommendation 11: Work on a sustainability framework.

Management response:

Response rationale:

Partially accepted

Having a clear view on what are the potential threats to sustainability and what are the strategies to face them is crucial to ensure the long-stating impact of WASH project. TRCS in collaboration with its current and future WASH partners needs to put in place a sustainability framework that is to be revised continuously.

Key action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking	
			Status	Comments
11.1 Organize discussions with other WASH actors in Tanzania to brainstorm on how to ensure sustainability of wash projects.	Q4 2023	TRCS		
11.2 First draft of the sustainability framework	Q4 2023	TRCS		
11.3 Revisions of the sustainability framework	continuous	TRCS		

Recommendation 12: Map responses and actions that need to take place when (not if) a water point stops working.

Management response:

Response rationale:

□ Accepted

Disruption of the water systems is unfortunately likely to happen. Being prepared to respond to the most typical technical issues can allow for the population to continue to have access to safe water through a quick re-establishment of the network or through realistic short-term alternatives.

Key action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking	
			Status	Comments
12.1 Identify the types of system disruptions that are the most likely	Q1 2023	TRCS		
to happen				
12.2 Identify the alternatives available for the households if a water	Q2 2023	TRCS		
point would stop working and how to ensure they don't turn to un-				
safe water sources				
12.3 Develop a workflow with the tasks and responsabilities of the	Q2 2023	TRCS		
different actors based on the level and type of breakdowns				

Recommendation 13: Offer a clear commitment to support operation and maintenance of WASH services, post construction for at least 3-5 years.

Management response: Response rationale:

□ Partially accepted

To ensure the sustainability of the new water systems, it is indeed crucial to have a clear view of which actors are going to oversee the operation and maintenance and what their specific roles would be. However, the identifications of actors should be done on a project basis as it will depend on the context and capacities of the entities active in the selected area.

Key action(s)	Deadline	Responsible	Tracking	
			Status	Comments
13.1 Identify the actors with the mandate and capacity to take part	Q4 2022	TRCS		
in the operation and maintenance of the system				
13.2 Organize joint discussions on the roles and responsibilities of	Q2 2023	TRCS		
each actor during the project but also after the end of the project				
13.3 Ensure each actor provides clear commitments through memo-	Q2 2023	TRCS		
randum of understanding or other relevant documents				